



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,713	03/01/2002	Samir G. Lehaff	00680.P0021	7481

21971 7590 07/28/2005

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
650 PAGE MILL ROAD  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050

EXAMINER

RAMPURIA, SHARAD K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/087,713	Applicant(s) LEHAFF ET AL.	
	Examiner Sharad Rampuria	Art Unit 2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-90 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-90 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Response to Amendment***

I. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-90 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

II. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 9-14, 21-25, 32-38, 47-49, 51, 53-64, 73-76, & 78-88, 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Elsey et al. [US 20040258231]

Regarding claim 1, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

Art Unit: 2683

Providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

Providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Regarding claim 2, Elsey disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the voice signals are recognized through speech recognition technology. (130; fig.2A; pg.4; 0051)

Regarding claim 9, Elsey discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Regarding claim 10, Elsey discloses the method of claim 9 wherein the public attendant distributes calls from speech terminals external to the organization. (pg.1; 0008)

Regarding claim 11 Elsey discloses the method of claim 9 wherein the corporate attendant distributes calls from speech terminals internal to the organization. (pg.1; 0007)

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 12-13, Elsey disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the speech terminals are attended/ unattended devices. (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claims 14-27, Elsey disclose the method of claim 1 further comprising accessing one or more parties through a speech terminal using the e-mail address, phone number, or any other form of identification for the one or more parties stored in the CIS. (pg.3; 0040)

Regarding claim 32, Elsey disclose The method of claim 1 further comprising commanding the server to perform tasks using a speech terminal. (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claim 33, Elsey disclose The method of claim 32 wherein the tasks include sending and receiving messages. (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claim 34, Elsey disclose The method of claim 33 wherein the messages are e-mail messages. (pg.3; 0040)

Regarding claim 35, Elsey disclose The method of claim 32 wherein the tasks include forwarding calls. (pg.3; 0040)

Regarding claim 36, Elsey disclose The method of claim 32 wherein the task include conferencing with other parties using the speech terminals. (pg.4; 0042)

Regarding claim 37, Elsey disclose The method of claim 1 further comprising providing a set of responses to a speech terminal, the set of responses dynamically changing depending on the speech terminal. (pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claim 38, Elsey disclose The method of claim 37 wherein the set of the responses to the speech terminal includes a recorded message. (pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claims 47-49, Elsey disclose The method of claim 1 wherein the speech terminals include telephones. (pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claim 51, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

Providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

Providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

Accessing a user profile every time a user logs onto the mobile communication system using a speech terminals. (pg.5; 0058)

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Art Unit: 2683

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Regarding claims 53-57, 60-61 Elsey disclose the method of claim 51, wherein the user profile stores an association between the user and a speech terminal. (pg.5; 0058)

Regarding claims 58, Elsey disclose the method of claim 51, further comprising updating the data in the CIS using a speech terminal by a user or other users registered in the CIS. (pg.4; 0045)

Regarding claims 59, Elsey disclose the method of claim 51, wherein the data includes the e-mail address, phone number. (pg.3; 0040)

Regarding claim 62, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

Providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

Providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

Providing a set of speech responses to a speech terminal. (0051, pg.5; 0057)

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Regarding claims 63-64, Elsey disclose The method of claim 62 further comprising providing a set of responses to a speech terminal, the set of responses dynamically changing depending on the speech terminal. (pg.4; 0049)

Regarding claim 73, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

Providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

Providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

Caching information from the CIS on the server. (pg.13; 0110, 0113)

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)



Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 74-75, Elsey disclose the method of claim 73, including recording messages in the server. (pg.4; 0047, 0051)

Regarding claim 76, Elsey disclose the method of claim 73, including providing a plurality of servers coupled to the CIS; and synchronizing user information among the plurality of servers using the CIS. (pg.3; 0039)

Regarding claims 78-82 Elsey disclose the method of claim 73, wherein the information cached from the CIS on the server comprises user information. (pg.5; 0058)

Regarding claims 83-85 Elsey disclose the method of claim 73, including accessing a user profile every time a user logs onto the mobile communication system using a speech terminals. (pg.5; 0058)

Regarding claim 86, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

Providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

Providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

Art Unit: 2683

Installing a software component related to the server on the CIS and configuring the CIS to use the software component; (pg.9; 0082) and

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Regarding claims 87-88, Elsey disclose the method of claim 86, wherein configuring the CIS to use the software component includes storing CIS specific to the server. (pg.1; 0007)

Regarding claims 90, Elsey disclose the method of claim 86, including caching information from the server. (pg.4; 0047, 0051)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2683

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-17, 31 & 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsey in view of Nykanen et al. [US 6714778] (hereinafter Nykanen).

Regarding claim 15, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except user authentication is required to access data. However, Nykanen teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 wherein user authentication is required to access data in the CIS. (Col.7; 5-24) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include user authentication is required to access data in order to provide improvement in accessing data through internet service.

Regarding claim 16, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except user authentication is required to access data. However, Nykanen teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 15 wherein the authentication comprises entering a code into a speech terminal. (Col.7; 5-24) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include user authentication is required to access data in order to provide improvement in accessing data through internet service.

Regarding claim 17, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except user authentication is required to access data. However, Nykanen teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 15 wherein the authentication comprises a matching voice characteristic. (Col.11; 36-41) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include user authentication is required to access data in order to provide improvement in accessing data through internet service.

Regarding claim 31, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the data includes information from databases and web sites on the internet. However, Nykanen teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 wherein the data includes information from databases and web sites on the internet. (Col.4; 56-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the data includes information from databases and web sites on the internet in order to provide improvement in accessing data through internet service.

Regarding claim 50, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the network is the internet. However, Nykanen teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 wherein the network is the Internet. (Col.4; 56-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the network is the internet in order to provide improvement in accessing data through internet service.

Claims 18-20, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsey in view of Singh [US 6405035] (hereinafter Singh).

Regarding claims 18, 52 Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS. However, Singh teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 further comprising dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS. (Col.4; 51-Col.5; 8) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS in order to update the message so that repetitive messages are eliminated.

Regarding claim 19, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS. However, Singh teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 18 further comprising storing the association between the user and the speech terminal as a user profile, the CIS accessing the user profile every time the user logs on to the mobile communication system using the speech terminal. (Col.4; 51-Col.5; 8) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS in order to update the message so that repetitive messages are eliminated.

Regarding claim 20, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS. However, Singh teaches in

Art Unit: 2683

an analogous art, that the method of claim 1 further comprising updating the data in the CIS using a speech terminal by a user or other users registered in the CIS. (Col.4; 51-Col.5; 8) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include dynamically associating a user with a speech terminal using data stored in the CIS in order to update the message so that repetitive messages are eliminated.

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsey in view of Takahashi et al. [US 6070081] (hereinafter Takahashi).

Regarding claims 4-8, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except calls from the public communications network to the private communications network. However, Takahashi teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 4 further comprising distributing incoming calls from the public communications network to the private communications network. (Col.7; 9-24) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include calls from the public communications network to the private communications network in order to provide a method for easily communication between private and public mobile telephones.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsey in view of Stern et al. [US 6731927] (hereinafter Stern).

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 28, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the data includes information related to an organization's employees. However, Stern teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 1 wherein the data includes information related to an organization's employees. (Col.7; 56-67) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the data includes information related to an organization's employees in order to provide access to an entity by context association.

Regarding claim 29, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the data includes information from data repositories internal to the organization. However, Stern teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 28 wherein the data includes information from data repositories internal to the organization. (Col.2; 15-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the data includes information from data repositories internal to the organization in order to provide access to an entity by context association.

Regarding claim 30, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the data includes information from data repositories external to the organization. However, Stern teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 28 wherein the data includes information from data repositories external to the organization. (Col.2; 15-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the data includes information from data repositories external to the organization in order to provide access to an entity by context association.

Claims 3, 39-46, 65-70, 77, 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsey in view of Evans et al. [US 2004/0002325] (hereinafter Evans).

Regarding claims 3, 77, 89 Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the server is a modular appliance. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claims 1, 73, and 86 wherein the server is a modular appliance. (7; fig.1; pg.3; 0056) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the server is a modular appliance in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claims 39, 65 Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except text-to-speech technology. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 37 wherein the set of responses to the speech terminal is an on-the-fly translation of responses into sounds using text-to-speech technology. (pg.2; 0030) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include text-to-speech technology in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 40, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 1 wherein the speech terminals includes multi-modal interfaces. (2; fig.1; pg.1; 0012-0013) Therefore, it would



Art Unit: 2683

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 41, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 40 wherein a user can input information to the server through the multi-modal interfaces using text, keystrokes, and speech recognition. (pg.1; 0012-0013) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 42, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 40 wherein the multi-modal interfaces present information to the server using a combination of sound, text, graphics, and video. (pg.3; 0059) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 43, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except text-to-speech technology. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 42 wherein

Art Unit: 2683

the sound is generated by text-to-speech technology. (pg.2; 0030) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include text-to-speech technology in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 44, Elsey disclose all the particulars of the claim except the sound is generated by playing recorded files. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 42 wherein the sound is generated by playing recorded files. (pg.5; 0130) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the sound is generated by playing recorded files in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 45, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 42 wherein the sound is generated by a continuous stream of sound data sent to the multi-modal interfaces. (pg.3; 0059) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 46, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 42 wherein the video is generated by a continuous stream of video data sent to the multi-modal interfaces. (pg.3;

Art Unit: 2683

0059) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 66, Elsey disclose a method for conducting mobile communications, (abstract) comprising;

providing a server (28; fig.1) for a plurality of users, the server coupled to a corporate information system in an organization via a first network; (pg.3; 0039)

providing a plurality of speech terminals (144; fig.2B; pg.4; 0049) for a plurality of users, the speech terminals coupled to the server through a second network, and the speech terminals accessing data in the CIS through voice or digital signals; (pg.4; 0049) and

providing a set of speech responses to a speech terminal. (0051, pg.5; 0057)

Distributing calls to the speech terminals using an electronic attendant coupled to the server through the first network. (pg.1; 0008, pg.4; 0044, pg.5; 0058)

Wherein the electronic attendant is comprised of a public attendant and a corporate attendant. (Abstract, pg.2; 0012)

Elsey fails to disclose multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the speech terminals includes multi-modal interfaces. (2; fig.1; pg.1; 0012-0013) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 67, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 66 wherein a user can input information to the server through the multi-modal interfaces using text, keystrokes, and speech recognition. (pg.1; 0012-0013) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 68, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except the multi-modal interfaces. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 66 wherein the multi-modal interfaces present information to the server using a combination of sound, text, graphics, and video. (pg.3; 0059) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include multi-modal interfaces in order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claims 69, Elsey discloses all the particulars of the claim except text-to-speech technology. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that The method of claim 68 wherein the set of responses to the speech terminal is an on-the-fly translation of responses into sounds using text-to-speech technology. (pg.2; 0030) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include text-to-speech technology in

order to provide the communication of multimedia documents from multimedia servers to multimedia clients.

Regarding claim 70, Elsey disclose all the particulars of the claim except the sound is generated by playing recorded files. However, Evans teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 68 wherein the sound is generated by playing recorded files. (pg.5; 0130) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the sound is generated by playing recorded files in order to provide a computer-based assistant to receive and manage incoming calls to a subscriber.

### ***Conclusion***

III. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. (8:15-4:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or [EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov).

Sharad Rampuria  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2683

July 21, 2005



WILLIAM TROST  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600